

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/724,775	11/28/2000	David C. Wilkins	DIGIP023	7663
75	90 06/21/2006		EXAM	INER
Patent Lean Staff			POKRZYWA, JOSEPH R	
EASTMAN KO	DDAK COMPANY			
343 State Street			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Rochester, NY 14650-2201			2625	

DATE MAILED: 06/21/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

1	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	09/724,775	WILKINS ET AL.		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Joseph R. Pokrzywa	2625		
The MAILING DATE of this communication apperiod for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailine earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	NATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status				
 Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 h This action is FINAL. Since this application is in condition for alloware closed in accordance with the practice under h 	s action is non-final. ince except for formal matters, pro			
Disposition of Claims				
4) Claim(s) 1-18 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-18 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	wn from consideration.			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomposed and applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 11.	cepted or b) objected to by the lead rawing(s) be held in abeyance. See tion is required if the drawing(s) is objection is required.	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 				
Attachment(s)				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:			

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

1. Applicant's amendment was received on 3/29/06, and has been entered and made of record. Currently, **claims 1-18** are pending.

Response to Arguments

- 2. Applicant's arguments filed 3/29/06 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.
- 3. In response to applicant's arguments regarding the rejection of *claims 1-18*, which were cited in the Office action dated 11/2/05 under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Yokomizo *et al.* (U.S. Patent Number 6,522,418), whereby the applicant argues on pages 6 and 7 that Yokomizo fails to teach of automatically synchronizing others of the set of multimedia assets based upon the modifying of the at least one set, as Yokomizo makes no reference to any modification of the low resolution images stored in the intermediate server. The examiner notes that currently, neither claim 1 nor claim 11 explicitly require that the first modification be performed "in the intermediate server", as argued by the applicant. Particularly, claim 1 requires "(a) modifying a particular one of the set of distributed multimedia assets, and (b) automatically synchronizing all others of the set of distributed multimedia assets based upon (a)". As read in column 20, lines 51-53, Yokomizo states, "At the local image editing station, a required editorial processing is effected on the down-loaded low-resolution image." Thus, a modifying process is done to the low-resolution image. Continuing, Yokomizo states in column 20, lines 53-59, that

Application/Control Number: 09/724,775

Art Unit: 2625

"Then, only the editorial information indicative of the contents of the editing effected is uploaded to the remote image editing station. In the remote image editing station, editorial processing exactly conforming with that performed in the local image editing station is effected on the high-resolution image...". With this, all the other of the set of multimedia assets (being interpreted as the high resolution images) is processed so that they are "exactly conforming" with the modified low-resolution image, thereby being automatically synchronized based upon the modifying step. Similarly, claim 11 currently requires a "a first means for modifying a particular one of the set of distributed multimedia assets, and a second means for automatically synchronizing others of the set of distributed multimedia assets based upon the modifying of the first means." As discussed above, Yokomizo can be interpreted as having a first means that modifies a multimedia asset (being the modification of the low resolution image at the local image editing station), and a second means that synchronizes (or making them "exactly conforming" to the modified low resolution image) others of the set of multimedia assets (being interpreted as the high resolution images), thereby automatically synchronizing based upon the modifying of the first means.

Page 3

4. Therefore, the rejection of **claims 1-18**, as cited in the Office action dated 11/2/05 under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Yokomizo *et al.*, is maintained and repeated in this Office action.

Claim Objections

5. The objections to *claims 11 and 12*, as cited in the Office action dated 11/2/05, are withdrawn, as the amendment dated 3/29/06 overcomes the addressed problems.

Application/Control Number: 09/724,775

Art Unit: 2625

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

Page 4

6. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

7. Claims 1-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Yokomizo *et al.* (U.S. Patent Number 6,522,418, cited in the Office action dated 11/2/05).

Regarding *claim 1*, Yokomizo discloses a method, in a distributed network (see Figs. 5-8), for automatically synchronizing each of a set of distributed multimedia assets (see abstract, and column 5, line 47-column 6, line 28) comprising modifying a particular one of the set of distributed multimedia assets (see abstract, and column 5, line 47-column 6, line 28) and automatically synchronizing all others of the set of distributed multimedia assets based upon the modifying step (see abstract, and column 5, line 47-column 6, line 28).

Regarding *claim 2*, Yokomizo discloses the method discussed above in claim 1, and further teaches of generating an update edit list corresponding to the modifying step (column 5, line 47-column 6, line 28, and column 10, lines 27-36).

Regarding *claim 3*, Yokomizo discloses the method discussed above in claim 2, and further teaches that the automatically synchronizing comprises forwarding the update edit list to the others of the set of distributed multimedia assets (column 5, line 47-column 6, line 28, and column 10, lines 27-36), and modifying each of the others of the set of distributed multimedia assets based upon the forwarded update edit list (see abstract, and column 5, line 47-column 6, line 28).

Regarding *claim 4*, Yokomizo discloses the method discussed above in claim 1, and further teaches of generating a resultant multimedia asset corresponding to the modifying step,

Art Unit: 2625

wherein the resultant multimedia asset is formed of a digital negative of the particular one of the set of multimedia assets (see abstract, column 5, line 47-column 6, line 28, and column 7, line 54-column 8, line 54) and a corresponding edit list, wherein the edit list represents all modifications made to the digital negative (see abstract, column 5, line 47-column 6, line 28, and column 7, line 54-column 8, line 54).

Regarding *claim 5*, Yokomizo discloses the method discussed above in claim 4, and further teaches that the automatically synchronizing comprises replacing each of the others of the set of distributed multimedia assets with the resultant multimedia asset (see abstract, column 5, line 47-column 6, line 28, and column 7, line 54-column 8, line 54).

Regarding *claim 6*, Yokomizo discloses the method discussed above in claim 1, and further teaches that the multimedia asset is a digital image (column 5, line 20-column 6, line 28).

Regarding *claim 7*, Yokomizo discloses the method discussed above in claim 6, and further teaches that the digital image is one of a plurality of associated digital images (column 5, line 20-column 6, line 28).

Regarding *claim 8*, Yokomizo discloses the method discussed above in claim 7, and further teaches that the plurality of associated digital images take the form of an album (column 4, lines 6-13, and column 10, lines 27-45).

Regarding *claim 9*, Yokomizo discloses the method discussed above in claim 1, and further teaches that the edit list is one of a number of edit lists included in a catalog file (column 5, line 47-column 6, line 28, column 10, lines 27-36, and column 19, line 40-column 20, line 14).

Regarding *claim 10*, Yokomizo discloses the method discussed above in claim 9, and further teaches that each of the number of edit lists included in the catalog file are associated

with a particular multimedia asset (column 5, line 47-column 6, line 28, column 10, lines 27-36, and column 19, line 40-column 20, line 14).

Regarding *claim 11*, Yokomizo discloses an apparatus, in a distributed network (see Figs. 5-8), for automatically synchronizing each of a set of distributed multimedia assets (see abstract, and column 5, lines 47-column 6, line 28), comprising a first means for modifying a particular one of the set of distributed multimedia assets (see abstract, and column 5, lines 47-column 6, line 28), and a second means for automatically synchronizing others of the set of distributed multimedia assets based upon the modifying of the first means (see abstract, and column 5, lines 47-column 6, line 28).

Regarding *claim 12*, Yokomizo discloses the apparatus discussed above in claim 11, and further teaches of a third means for generating an update edit list based upon the modifying of the first means (column 5, line 47-column 6, line 28, and column 10, lines 27-36).

Regarding *claim 13*, Yokomizo discloses the apparatus discussed above in claim 12, and further teaches of fourth means coupled to the third means for forwarding the update edit list to the others of the set of distributed multimedia assets (column 5, line 47-column 6, line 28, and column 10, lines 27-36), and a fifth means coupled to the fourth means for modifying each of the others of the set of distributed multimedia assets based upon the forwarded update edit list (see abstract, and column 5, line 47-column 6, line 28).

Regarding *claim 14*, Yokomizo discloses the apparatus discussed above in claim 11, and further teaches of sixth means coupled to the first means for generating a resultant image corresponding to the modified multimedia asset wherein the resultant image is formed of a digital negative of the particular one of the set of multimedia assets (see abstract, column 5, line

47-column 6, line 28, and column 7, line 54-column 8, line 54) and a corresponding full edit list, wherein the full edit list represents all modifications made to the digital negative (see abstract, column 5, line 47-column 6, line 28, and column 7, line 54-column 8, line 54).

Regarding *claim 15*, Yokomizo discloses the apparatus discussed above in claim 14, and further teaches that the automatically synchronizing comprises a seventh means coupled to the first means for replacing each of the others of the set of distributed multimedia assets with the resultant multimedia asset (see abstract, column 5, line 47-column 6, line 28, and column 7, line 54-column 8, line 54).

Regarding *claim 16*, Yokomizo discloses the apparatus discussed above in claim 12, and further teaches that the multimedia asset is a digital image (column 5, line 20-column 6, line 28).

Regarding *claim 17*, Yokomizo discloses the apparatus discussed above in claim 16, and further teaches that the digital image is one of a plurality of associated digital images (column 5, line 20-column 6, line 28)

Regarding *claim 18*, Yokomizo discloses the apparatus discussed above in claim 17, and further teaches that the plurality of associated digital images take the form of an album (column 4, lines 6-13, and column 10, lines 27-45).

Conclusion

8. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Joe Pokrzywa whose telephone number is (571) 272-7410. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 9:00-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Edward L. Coles can be reached on (571) 272-7402. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2625

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

> Joseph R. Pokrzywa **Primary Examiner**

Art Unit 2625

jrp

DSEPH R. POUT